

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,025	03/02/2004	Padmanabha Rao Ravilisetty	813.0030USU 1320		
7590 05/03/2005			EXAMINER		
Paul D. Greeley, Esq.			KOSLOW, CAROL M		
	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.	P.			
10th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Landmark Square			1755		
Stamford, CT	06901-2682		DATE MAIL ED. 05/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					4V			
Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	1			
		10/791,0	25	RAVILISETTY, PADMANABHA RAO	łA			
		Examiner		Art Unit	1			
		C. Melissa		1755				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (6) period for reply is specified above, the maximum s ure to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. 30) days, a reply within the stat tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .						
'=	, , ,	· ·						
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>1, 8-11 and 35-38</u> is/are al Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 12-34</u> is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to.	are withdrawn from co llowed. ed.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election r	equirement.					
	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 20</u> . Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected to	$\frac{004}{1000}$ is/are: a) \square accept action to the drawing(s) but the correction is required.	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	• •		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/2/04</u> .			atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/791,025

Art Unit: 1755

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892 or by applicant on form PTO-1449, they have not been considered.

The drawings are objected to because the individual micrographs in figure 3 should each be labeled. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, line 10, "state" is misspelled. The individual micrographs in figure 3 should each be labeled. It is unclear

Application/Control Number: 10/791,025

Art Unit: 1755

if the phosphor contains a halogen or not. The verbal description of the phosphor and the molar amounts on page 13 indicates the phosphor contains a halogen, but the formula does not contain any halogen. Finally, the process on page 10, lines 1-10 is unclear since there is no relationship between the first step and remaining steps. Appropriate correction is required.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: There is no clear teaching in the specification of the step of crushing the gel powder in claims 27 and 28.

Claims 2-7 and 12-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The process in the claims is indefinite since there is no relationship between the first step and remaining steps. Claims 3 and 13 recites the limitation "said alkali halide metal". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims or the claims from which they depend. Claims 3 and 13 are indefinite since the first step already defines the aluminum source as aluminum oxide and the second step requires the aluminum source is an organic aluminum compound and thus it is unclear which "aluminum source" is being defined, the one in the first step or the one in the second step. Claim 22 is indefinite since it teaches a source of alkali halide, but there is no halide in claim 12 from which it depends. Claim 23 recites the limitations "said source of manganese halide" and "said alkali halide". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim or claim 12, from which it depends. Claims 22 and 23 are indefinite since it limits the aluminum source to alumina, but the second step of claim 12 requires the

Application/Control Number: 10/791,025 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

aluminum source to be an organic aluminum compound. Finally, claim 34 is indefinite since it teaches the amount of alkali halide is about 0.01-about 0.1 mole, but claim 12, from which it depends does not contain any halogen and it teaches the amount of alkali metal is about 0.01-about 0.1 mole.

Claims 1, 8-11 and 35-38 are allowable over the cited art of record.

There is no teaching or suggestion of the claimed phosphor. The MgO in claim 36 is a preferred embodiment. The thin protective layer is not limited to MgO.

JP 49-123992 is cited as interest since it teaches La₂Al₂₂O₃₆:Mn, but there is no suggestion or teaching of an alkali metal codopant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at (571) 272-1233.

The fax number for all official communications is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmk

April 29, 2005

C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700